1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	Officed States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00064-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	V.	ORDER	
14	JOSE MANUEL CHAVEZ ZEPEDA, and DENIS ZACARIAS PONCE CASTILLO	DATE: July 25, 2023 TIME: 9:30 a.m.	
15 16	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
17			
18	STIPU	LATION	
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on July 25, 2023.		
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until		
21	September 12, 2023, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between July 25, 2023, and September 12,		
22	2023, under Local Code T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
24	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
25	includes more than 5,300 pages of investigative reports, photographs, phone toll records, and		
26	other materials, as well as video and audio recordings. All of this discovery has been either		
27	produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
28			
- 1	1		

Case 2:22-cr-00064-JAM Document 93 Filed 07/19/23 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
_	0

- b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to review the discovery, to review the charges and potential responses to the charges with their clients, to conduct factual investigation and legal research, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 25, 2023 to September 12, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 18, 2023

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

> /s/ DAVID W. SPENCER DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:22-cr-00064-JAM Document 93 Filed 07/19/23 Page 3 of 3

	Dated: July 18, 2023	/s/ Clemente Jimenez
1		Clemente Jimenez
2		Counsel for Defendant JOSE MANUEL CHAVEZ ZEPEDA
3	Dated: July 18, 2023	/s/ Etan Zaitsu
4	Dated. July 16, 2023	Etan Zaitsu
5		Counsel for Defendant
		DENIS ZACARIAS PONCE CASTILLO
6		
7		
8		ORDER
9	IT IS SO EQUIND AND ODDEDED	
10	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
11	Dated: July 19, 2023	/s/ John A. Mendez
12		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
13		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		